

AWARD INFORMATION

David Packard Excellence in Acquisition Award – Nominations due
Mid - December

This award recognizes civilian and/or military organizations, groups or teams that have demonstrated superior accomplishments significantly contributing to best defense acquisition practices.

David Packard Excellence in Acquisition Award Nomination Format (FY 02)

GENERAL INFORMATION:

Organization: (Please state group title, office title, or activity)

Address:

Point of contact: *(Please provide name, phone number and email)

Name, grade and position title of individual(s) nominated.

BASIS FOR NOMINATION:

AWARD CATEGORY: (Self-explanatory)

SUMMARY: (Briefly describe the accomplishment(s); supporting documentation may be included, e.g., charts, statistical data, etc.)

CRITERIA:

REDUCTION OF LIFE CYCLE COSTS: (Include such factors as achieving best value for the government; balancing the risk of fraud, waste or abuse against the cost of preventative measures; balancing the benefits of the nation's socioeconomic policies with the cost of government-unique requirements on sellers.)

BEST VALUE FOR THE GOVERNMENT: (Include such factors as managing risk in acquisition and procurement; meeting warfighter/peacekeeper needs faster, better, cheaper; shortening acquisition leadtime; anticipating change instead of reacting to it.)

INTEGRATING DEFENSE WITH THE COMMERCIAL BASE AND PRACTICES: (Examples include strengthening the joint commercial and defense industrial base; integrating commercially available technology into military systems;

maintaining technological superiority; combining best practices of world class customers and suppliers; partnering within DoD and industry.)

PROMOTING CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT OF THE ACQUISITION

PROCESS: (Examples include simplifying the acquisition process; facilitating people in the acquisition workforce in doing their jobs; training and educating a professional acquisition workforce; providing incentives for acquisition personnel to innovate and to manage risk; evidencing trust in the professionalism of the workforce by empowering and encouraging them; ensuring that every stop in the acquisition process adds value; reengineering the acquisition system, process by process: measuring progress (metrics) toward acquisition system enhancements.)

ACCOMPLISHING SPECIFIC GOALS ASSOCIATED WITH ACQUISITION

REFORM INITIATIVES: (Examples include legislation; specifications and standards, oversight and review; automated acquisition information; electronic data interchange; contract administration; purchase cards; procurement process reform, Integrated Product/Process Teams (IPTs), IPPO; others (as may from time to time be identified in connection with working group charters, Defense Manufacturing council, Defense Science Board, Roles and Missions Commission, legislative and regulatory initiatives, etc.)

OTHERS AS IDENTIFIED BY A DoD COMMOONENT ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE

NOTE: (NOT TO EXCEED TWO 8-1/2" x 11" TYPEWRITTEN PAGES, WITH TYPE FONT NO SMALLER THAN 10 PITCH,)

Excellence.GOV E-Government Best Practices – Nominations due late December

The Industry Advisory Council's (IAC) E-Gov Shared Interest Group (SIG), together with the Federal CIO Council and E-Gove, sponsor the Excellence.gov awards program that recognizes the best practices in Federal E-Government applications.

The judges consider the following requirements:

- How much of an impact the project had
- How the project saved resources or increased productivity
- How did the project simplify and/or unify processes
- Is the project a replicable solution for other government agencies?

The website for the Federation of Government Information Processing Councils, where the nomination information was posted last year is

<http://fgjpc.org/benefits.htm>

Procurement Round Table Award for Young Acquisition Professional Excellence (Elmer B. Staats Young Acquisition Professional Excellence Award) – Nominations due the end of January

The Procurement Round Table (PRT) is a non-profit corporation concerned about the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of the federal acquisition process. This is a \$5,000 award to the selected individual.

The PRT has established the Round Table Award for Young Acquisition Professional who has contributed significantly to acquisition operations or acquisition policy:

Noteworthy contributions to acquisition operations include extraordinary business leadership or team participation in the design, development, or execution of an acquisition program or project that furthers an agency's mission. Such contributions also include performance of any single task that merits special recognition because of its contribution to meeting an acquisition's cost, schedule, and performance goals.

Noteworthy contributions to acquisition policy include the development of a management policy, regulation, data system, or other task that significantly enhances the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of an agency's acquisition system or the federal acquisition system.

To be eligible for this award in FY 03, candidates

Must have made a noteworthy contribution to acquisition operations or policy within 18 months of the due date for nominations;

Must have at least 5 years of civil or military service as a contracting officer, contract specialist, procurement analyst, or purchasing agent; and

Must be no more than 37 years of age as of the due date for nomination.

Secretary of the Army Awards for Excellence in Contracting – Nominations due to the ACA 24 November 03

The Secretary of the Army Awards for Excellence in Contracting are presented annually to recognize exemplary contracting organizations and individuals.

Please refer to <http://asc.rdaisa.army.mil/programs/cp/awards.cfm#secretary> for specific information and nomination requirements.

General Douglas MacArthur Leadership Award Program – Nominations due to HQ ACA NLT 01 December each year for selection of the one officer and one warrant officer nomination to go forward to DA.

This award was established to recognize those company grade officers who demonstrate the ideals for which General MacArthur stood: Duty, Honor, and Country. Promote and sustain competent junior officer leadership in the Army.

Army Regulation 600-89 is the governing regulation and contains all of the information necessary for submission of nominations.

Ida Ustad Award for Excellence in Acquisition – Nominations due to HQ ACA NLT 1 Feb each year. Award is \$5,000.

This award is sponsored by the General Services Administration recognizing an individual Government employee whose actions demonstrate or embody the concept of “contract specialist as business leader/advisor.”

To be eligible for this award, an applicant must be a Government employee in the 1102 series working for an agency subject to the FAR and must have high ethical standards. The accomplishments for which the applicant is nominated must have taken place between February 1, 2002 and February 1, 2003. Anyone may submit a nomination, however, it requires HCA approval.

The nomination requires the following information:

- A description of the nominee's original, innovative approach to an acquisition issue.
- A description of how the nominee's approach lowered prices and/or improved the quality of performance the Government receives from its contractors.
- A description of how the nominee has served as a business leader/advisor, focusing on both the customer and the market and bring the two together effectively.
- A description of how, the nominee demonstrated a commitment to professional development, both personally and organizationally.
- A description of how the nominee's accomplishment(s) resulted in substantial small business participation or created significant subcontracting opportunities.

Federal Acquisition Award: Business Solutions in the Public Interest –
Nominations due mid-May.

This award identifies and celebrates acquisition teams and agency leaders who have used acquisition effectively and creatively to help achieve agency missions. This award is administered by Government Executive magazine and the Council for Excellence in Government in partnership with the Office of Federal Procurement Policy.

The awards will be given to agencies and acquisition teams that have demonstrated the power and payoff of the strategic use of acquisition. The awards will identify leading examples demonstrating the greatest impact and trend-setting accomplishments in the practice of this new business performance culture. The awards will also recognize the importance of partnership between agency staff and leadership in thinking through, planning and managing acquisitions.

Secretary of the Army Awards for Program/Project/Product Management, and Acquisition Command – Nominations due into HQ ACA 1 Jul of each year.

These awards recognize Army PMs and Acquisition Commanders whose outstanding contributions and achievements merit special recognition.

Any military or civilian PM (Lieutenant Colonel/GS-14 and Colonel/GS-15, as governed by Department of Defense Instruction 5000.2, is eligible to receive the PM Award. Acquisition Commanders occupying positions on the Command Select List are eligible to receive the Acquisition Command Award.

The contributions made or culminated during the year ending September 30, 2004, should be considered for each nominated PM/Acquisition Commander. **No more than one PM in each category (Program, Project and Product) shall be submitted from each nominating organization. Likewise, no more than one Acquisition Commander at the Lieutenant Colonel level, and one at the Colonel level, shall be submitted from each nominating organization.** HQ ACA will selection the nominations that are submitted for these awards.

**Nomination Format
Secretary of the Army Award
for
Acquisition Command**

Name and Grade:

Title:

Program:

Assignment Date:

Nominating Official:

Point of Contact: (Please provide name, telephone number, mailing address and e-mail address)

ASSIGNED RESPONSIBILITY: (Extract this information from the Significant Duties and Responsibilities section from DA Form 67-9-1. Even though this area is not scored, the board members use this as a guideline in the scoring of other criteria.)

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT: (State achievements in both financial and manpower management.)

c. Financial Management

d. Manpower management

ACQUISITION STREAMLINING REFORMS AND INNOVATIONS: (Self-explanatory.)

COMPLEXITY OF ASSIGNMENT: (Joint programs, level of coordination/interaction, technology integration, reduction of cost drivers/cycle time, types of contracts/programs, diversity of products/mission.)

CONTRIBUTIONS TO SUPPORT THE WARFIGHTER, THE ACQUISITION COMMUNITY AND CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: (Self-explanatory.)

(NOT TO EXCEED TWO SINGLE-SPACED TYPED PAGES)

**Nomination Format
Secretary of the Army Award
for
Program/Project/Product Management**

Name and Grade:

Title:

Program:

Assignment Date:

Nominating Official:

Point of Contact: (Please provide name, telephone number, mailing address and e-mail address)

ASSIGNED RESPONSIBILITY: (Extract this information from the Significant Duties and Responsibilities section from DA Form 67-9-1. Even though this area is not scored, the board members use this as a guideline in the scoring of other criteria.)

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT: (State achievements in both financial and manpower management.)

- c. Financial Management
- d. Manpower management

ACQUISITION STREAMLINING AND INNOVATIONS: (Self-explanatory.)

PROGRAM COMPLEXITY: (Joint programs, level of coordination/interaction, technology integration, reduction of cost drivers/cycle time, types of contracts/programs, diversity of products/mission.)

EXCEEDING PROGRAM OBJECTIVES: (Self-explanatory.)

(NOT TO EXCEED TWO SINGLE-SPACED TYPED PAGES)

